

# Prayer, Mosque, and Pilgrimage: Mapping Shī'ī Sectarian Identity in 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> Century Kūfa\*

## Najam Haider

#### **Abstract**

I propose a narrative for the emergence of sectarian consciousness rooted in distinctive ritual practice and geographical space. This differs from recent studies of early Imāmī Shī'ism which tend to focus on historical struggles for political power or theological disputes about religious authority (i.e., the imāmate). I conclude that an observable proto-Imāmī identity began to crystallize in early 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century Kūfa. In an urban environment characterized by a growing correlation between communal identity and ritual practice, the Imāmīs carved out distinctive sacred spaces in Kūfa, frequenting a set of revered mosques and avoiding others associated with hostile elements. Over time, Imāmīs increasingly emphasized smaller pilgrimages (ziyārāt) to shrines and other locations of historical and religious significance (e.g., 'Alī's shrine and al-Ḥusayn's grave in Karbalā'). By the early 5<sup>th</sup>/11<sup>th</sup> century, participation in large processions to holy sites constituted a clear public declaration of communal loyalty.

#### Keywords

Shi'ism, Zaydism, Ithna Ashari, Kufa, mosque, ziyāra, ritual law

Correspondence: Najam Haider, Department of Religious Studies, PO Box 3003, Franklin and Marshall College, Lancaster, PA 17604-3003. E-mail: nhaider74@gmail.com

DOI: 10.1163/156851909X451411

<sup>\*</sup> A version of this essay was presented at a conference entitled "Rethinking Sectarianism" organized by Max Weiss and Toby Jones at Princeton University in May 2008. This article owes a great deal to Hossein Modarressi who inspired many of the core ideas/themes and suggested a wide and invaluable range of primary sources. I am also indebted to Michael Cook for his insightful remarks on an early draft as well as the suggestions and support of David Powers.

Most studies on the emergence of Shī'ism privilege the role of theology and emphasize the importance of rival historical claims regarding Muḥammad's succession. The notion of imāmate (*imāma*) holds a special significance as Shī'ī groups differed over the identity of the legitimate heir to the Prophet as well as the scope and nature of his authority. With respect to Imāmī Shī'ism, much of the foundation for this mode of analysis was laid by Marshall Hodgson, and developed in the careful and erudite studies of Wilferd Madelung and Etan

<sup>1)</sup> It may reasonably be argued that these two elements were so closely intertwined in the first few centuries that any distinction between them is largely artificial.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> There is a considerable problem in terminology when dealing with the early Shī'ī community. It is generally maintained that the earliest Shī'a consisted of three major divisions: the Kaysāniyya, the Zaydiyya, and a third group alternatively known as either the Rawāfiḍa (a polemical name) or the Imāmiyya. The latter traced the imāmate exclusively through Husaynid 'Alids and generally acknowledged the leadership of Muhammad al-Bāqir (d. 117/735) and Ja'far al-Sādiq (d. 148/765). They differed, however, on theological aspects of the imāmate including (amongst other issues) the scope of the Imām's knowledge, his method of appointment, and the nature of his relationship to God. In the era following the imāmate of al-Ṣādiq, a number of parties broke away to form their own sects, most notably the Ismā'īliyya and the Nāwūsiyya (both around 148/765) and the Wāqifiyya (around 183/799). Kohlberg notes that the name "Imāmiyya" (in combination with "Qaţ'iyya") was used in reference to the generality of those Shī'a who held to the Ḥusaynid line eventually affirmed by the sect currently known as the Ithnā 'Ashariyya (Twelvers). In the course of this essay, I follow Kohlberg's formulation, using "Imāmī" to denote "the earliest manifestation of the sect that we today refer to as the Imāmī-Twelvers." In the 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century, this term included those sects (e.g., the Wāqifiyya) who had not yet broken off to form independent groups and it should not be taken as referring exclusively to the antecedents of the modern Imāmī-Twelver community. See Etan Kohlberg, "From Imāmiyya to Ithnā-'Ashariyya," Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies 39 (1976), 521-34 and idem, "Early Attestations of the term 'Ithnā 'Ashariyya," Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam 24 (2000), 343-57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup> Marshall Hodgson, "How did the early Shī'a become sectarian?" *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 75 (1955), 1-13 and *EF*, s.v. Ja'far al-Ṣādiq (*idem*). Hodgson notes the growth in stature of the figure of the Imām in the late 1<sup>st</sup>/7<sup>th</sup> and early 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century as both a legal and a theological authority. Specifically, he examines the difficulties faced by al-Ṣādiq in controlling the flow of extremist (*ghulāt*) ideas amongst his followers in Kūfa but emphasizes the importance of these same ideas in the development of a distinct Shī'ī identity. This approach is in sharp contrast to that of earlier scholars who concentrated almost exclusively on the issue of succession. See, for example, Dwight Donaldson, *The Shi'ite Religion* (London: Luzak, 1933).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup> EP, s.vv. Imāma (W. Madelung), Shīʿa (*idem*), along with *idem*, Der Imam al-Qāsim ibn Ibrāhīm und die Glaubenslehre der Zaiditen (Berlin: de Gruyter, 1965) and The Succession to Muḥammad (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997). Madelung primarily focuses on the role of theological and political disputes in shaping the contours of early

Kohlberg.<sup>5</sup> In a similar vein, Maria Dakake published an important monograph which emphasized the centrality of *walāya* (charismatic allegiance or—alternatively—attachment) in the creation of an early 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century Shīʿī identity.<sup>6</sup> Complementary views were offered by Amir-Moezzi,<sup>7</sup> who concentrated on the mystical and esoteric aspects of Imāmī Shīʿism, and Hossein Modarressi,<sup>8</sup> who highlighted its deeprooted rationalist tendencies. Other studies have emphasized the role of Muḥammad al-Bāqir (d. 117/735) and Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq (d. 148/765) in laying the foundations for the Imāmī community. Ron Buckley, for example, links al-Ṣādiqʾs increasing interest in articulating both (a) a coherent doctrine of the imāmate and (b) a concrete ritual and legal edifice to the political aftermath of the ʿAbbāsid revolution (132/750).<sup>9</sup> Overall, there is a general scholarly consensus that the outlines of a distinct Imāmī communal identity<sup>10</sup> were in place during the lifetime of al-Ṣādiq.<sup>11</sup>

Shī'ism. A similar approach is adopted by Josef van Ess in *Theologie und Gesellschaft im 2. und 3. Jahrhundert Hidschra*, 5 vols. (Berlin: de Gruyter, 1991) through a detailed analysis of the emergence of a multiplicity of Kūfan Shī'ī theological circles (see e.g., 1:387-93 on the school of al-Ṣādiq's companion Hishām b. al-Ḥakam) and an examination of important controversies associated with the imāmate (see e.g., 1:377-82 on the designation of the Imām along with his infallibility; 1:274-78 on his political responsibilities; and 1:278-85 on his knowledge).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5)</sup> Etan Kohlberg, "Imām and Community in the Pre-*Ghayba* Period," in *Authority and Political Culture in Shī'ism*, ed. Said Amir Arjomand (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1988), 25-53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6)</sup> Maria Dakake, *The Charismatic Community* (Albany: State University of New York Press, 2007). A similar perspective emphasizing the early importance of *walāya* is found in Joseph Eliash, "On the Genesis and Development of the Twelver-Shī'ī Three-tenet *Shahādah*," *Der Islam* 47 (1971), 265-77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7)</sup> Muḥammad 'Alī Amir-Moezzi, *The Divine Guide in Early Shī'ism*, trans. David Streight (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8)</sup> Hossein Modarressi, *Crisis and Consolidation* (Princeton: Darwin Press, 1933); *idem*, *Tradition and Survival* (Oxford: One World, 2003), vol. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9)</sup> Ron Buckley, "On the Origins of Shī'ī Ḥadīth," *The Muslim World* 88 (1998), 165-84; *idem*, "Ja'far al-Ṣādiq as a Source of Shī'ī Traditions," *The Islamic Quarterly* 43 (1999), 37-58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10)</sup> When I speak of the emergence of an "Imāmī communal identity", I am not referring to a fully developed sectarian group or a formal law school. I am primarily concerned with the point at which a particular group of Muslims began to perceive themselves as 'unique' or 'different.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11)</sup> Patricia Crone places the emergence of Imāmī Shī'ism in the lifetime of al-Kāẓim (Patricia Crone, *God's Rule* [New York: Columbia University Press, 2004] 114-15), while

While recent scholarship offers valuable insights into the importance of theology in the formative period of Shī'ism (beginning in the 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century), it overlooks the concrete manner in which that theology impacted the everyday lives of Muslims. Specifically, theological differences were manifest in observable preferences and/or behavior patterns such as the use of a distinctive dress or the performance of prayer in an idiosyncratic manner. This is evident in early sources that detail the breadth of ritual diversity in cities like Kūfa, and identify mosques (and other sacred spaces) as holding special significance for particular religious communities. Unfortunately, little work has been done to aggregate (and analyze) this evidence in a meaningful and systematic manner. This study helps fill this void by examining early sectarianism through the lens of public ritual. Such an approach yields two primary benefits. Firstly, it

Hodgson (Hodgson, "Sectarian," 13) and Madelung (*EP*, s.v. Shī'a) prefer that of al-Ṣādiq. The earliest dating comes from Modarressi, who suggests that the Imāmīs were an "independent political, legal, and theological school" by 132/749 (Modarressi, *Crisis*, 4). This implies that differentiation may have taken place *before* 132/749, possibly during the imāmate of al-Bāqir. See also Modarressi, *An Introduction to Shī'ī Law* (London: Ithaca Press, 1984). Arzani Lalani (*Early Shī'ī Thought* [London: I. B. Tauris, 2000]) also emphasizes al-Bāqir's role in articulating theological tenets and rituals distinct to the early Shī'a, albeit without singling out the Imāmī community. Kohlberg, who acknowledges a circle of followers who gathered around al-Ṣādiq, differentiates them from the "Twelvers" through the use of the term "Imāmī" (see footnote 2). Similar views are also ascribed to Buckley (see footnote 9) and Douglas Crow ("The Death of Al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī and Early Shī'ī Views of the Imāmate," *Al-Serat* 12 (1986), 71-116). On the whole, there is broad agreement that a nascent Imāmī community had coalesced by the time of al-Ṣādiq. The insularity of this group and its central doctrinal beliefs/rituals, however, remain an open issue.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12)</sup> Lalani's list of ritual practices specific to the early Shī'ī followers of al-Bāqir includes aspects of purity law, dietary law, and the form of the prayer. Although she notes that "the reason for the establishment of the *madhhab ahl al-bayt*, the Shī'ī school of thought [sic], appears to have been related...to the sphere of religious practice," she does not expand upon this point in any detail (Lalani, 114). Buckley also stresses the importance of ritual law in differentiating the Imāmī community from the larger Kūfan population through al-Ṣādiq's articulation of "a more systematic Shī'ī doctrinal and religio-legal elaboration" (Buckley, "Ḥadīth," 184). His focus, however, remains on competing theories of the imāmate as opposed to the role of ritual in facilitating the formation of communal boundaries. Modarressi comes closest to addressing the issue by (a) emphasizing the importance of ritual practice and (b) mapping the location of distinctly Imāmī mosques (Modarressi, *Tradition*, 1:202-4).

provides insight into the mechanisms of identity formation, suggesting that the performance of rituals in distinct sacred spaces was critical to ascertaining an individual's communal membership. Secondly, it serves as a useful check on the results of previous studies that rested primarily on theological evidence drawn from heresiographical texts. As the propensity for theological sources to be distorted by back-projection is well-known, a confirmation of their conclusions by a different set of texts is especially valuable.

This essay centers on the emergence of an Imāmī community in the southern Iraqi city of Kūfa<sup>13</sup> from the 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> to the 5<sup>th</sup>/11<sup>th</sup> century. The first section focuses on the role of ritual practice in determining a figure's veracity as a source of religious knowledge. The second section discusses the gradual appropriation of mosques and shrines by sectarian groups and the centrality of sacred space to the forging of increasingly insular communal boundaries. The third and final section examines the rise of public processions and pilgrimages (sg. *ziyāra*, pl. *ziyārāt*) that combined ritual and space in a highly public affirmation of group membership.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13)</sup> Kūfa was distinguished from other cities in the 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century by its unique social and religious diversity. It was the birthplace of—at least—two groups eventually subsumed into a mature Sunnism: a school of Kūfan traditionists, and the ahl al-ra'y. In addition, it is mentioned as the home base of a range of Shī'ī groups including the Zaydīs and the Imāmīs. For more on the general history of Kūfa, see EP, s.v. Kūfa (Hichem Djait) and idem, Al-Kūfa: naissance de la ville islamique (Paris: Editions G.-P. Maisonneuve et Larose, 1986). For the city's role in the development of Sunnism, see Joseph Schacht, The Origins of Muhammadan Jurisprudence (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1950) and the competing positions advanced by Melchert in "How Hanafism Came to Originate in Kūfa and Traditionism in Medina," Islamic Law and Society 6 (1999), 318-47 and Nurit Tsafrir in The History of an Islamic School of Law (Cambridge: Islamic Legal Studies Program at Harvard law School, 2004), 17-27. For an early discussion of this topic, see 'Abd Allāh b. al-Mugaffa' (d. 142/760?), Risālat al-ṣaḥāba, published in al-Adab al-ṣaghīr wa'l-adab al-kabīr wa risālat al-ṣaḥāba, ed. Yūsuf Abū Ḥalqah (Beirut: Maktabat al-Bayyān, 1960), 166-9. For Kūfa's prominence in Shī'ī communal history, see the first volume of Modarressi's Tradition and Cornelis van Arendonk's Les débuts de l'imāmat zaidite au Yémen, trans. Jacques Ryckmans (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1960), both of which discuss seminal Shīʿī scholars of Kūfan origin. In addition to the city's importance in the compilation of Imāmī traditions, Buckley ("Ḥadīth," 296) emphasizes the impact of the distance between the Imāms in Medina and their Kūfan followers in the promulgation of extremist notions of the imāmate (idem, "Ja'far al-Ṣādiq," 44-9).

## I. Observation and Assessment

In the 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century, Kūfa was home to a number of rival groups that advocated often contradictory views on basic questions related to ritual practice. The most famous dispute concerned the status of alcoholic beverages derived from substances other than grapes, with a number of prominent authorities, including Abū Ḥanīfa (d. 150/767) and Muḥammad al-Shaybānī (d. 189/806), arguing for permissibility. <sup>14</sup> Other differences centered on the structure of the daily prayer, particularly the recitation of the *basmalah*<sup>15</sup> and the performance of the *qunūt*. <sup>16</sup> At an early stage, it is likely that individuals were free to choose from a range of practices, all of which were considered equally valid. In time, however, ritual form became a visible marker of an individual's membership in a sectarian community. The Imāmīs, for example, were distinguished by their recitation of an audible *basmalah* and the insertion of the *qunūt* in the second cycle of every prayer. <sup>17</sup>

The use of ritual as a public affirmation of sectarian allegiance emerged as early as the  $2^{nd}/8^{th}$  century as evidenced by numerous sources that stress its role in evaluating the reliability of legal authorities. In his *Sunan*, for example, al-Dārimī (d. 255/869) preserves a series of accounts that acknowledge the centrality of traditions ( $had\bar{\imath}th$ ) in the establishment of proper "religion" ( $d\bar{\imath}n$ ) and emphasize the need for a systematic verification of their veracity. Specifically, he cites the opinion of the Baṣran scholar Muḥammad b. Sīrīn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14)</sup> Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Shaybānī, *Kitāb al-āthār* (Karachi: Idārat al-Qurʾān waʾl-ʿulūm al-Islāmiyya, 1998), 1:182-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15)</sup> The *basmalah* is the use of the phrase "In the name of God, the Beneficent the Merciful" at the start of the Qur'ānic recitation in every cycle of the daily prayer. Those who affirmed the *basmalah* were further divided regarding its audible or silent recitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16)</sup> An invocation addressed to God (often on behalf of a group of people) or a curse against an enemy, recited by raising both hands in supplication at a point in the prayer after the Qur'ānic recitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17)</sup> Lalani dates the use of these rituals as identity markers to the lifetime of either al-Bāqir or al-Ṣādiq (Lalani, 122-5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18)</sup> 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. al-Faḍl al-Dārimī (d. 255/869), Sunan al-Dārimī, 2 vols., ed. Fawwāz Aḥmad Zamarlī and Khālid al-Sab' al-'Alīmī (Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-Arabī, 1987), 1:124-5.

(d. 110/728)<sup>19</sup> who advocated "examining men" before according them any authority in religious matters.<sup>20</sup> Similar sentiments were ascribed to Muḥammad b. Sīrīn's brother Anas (d. 120/738) from Baṣra,<sup>21</sup> al-Ḍaḥḥāk b. Muzāḥim (d. 105/724) from Khurāsān,<sup>22</sup> Ibrāhīm al-Nakha'ī (d. 96/714) from Kūfa,<sup>23</sup> and Mālik b. Anas (d. 179/795) from Medina.<sup>24</sup> The widespread regional distribution of such calls for "examination" strongly suggests that the idea was common to traditionist sentiment in general.

Once the need for an "examination" of transmitters and legal authorities was established, it was necessary to ascertain its actual form. Al-Dārimī addresses this concern in a series of traditions which describe the investigative efforts of late 1<sup>st</sup>/7<sup>th</sup> and early 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century religious scholars and students. In one such account, Ibrāhīm al-Nakhā'ī recalls that "if they [previous generations] wanted to narrate [traditions] from a man, then they would follow him, examining his prayer, his practice (*sunna*), and his appearance. [Only then]

<sup>19)</sup> A Başran traditionist of high reputation famed for his interpretation of dreams. See EI<sup>2</sup>, s.v. Ibn Sīrīn (T. Fahd).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20)</sup> al-Dārimī, 1:124-5. Variants of these traditions citing Muḥammad b. Sīrīn are found in numerous works, including: one account in Muslim b. al-Ḥajjāj (d. 261/875), *Jāmi' al-ṣaḥīḥ*, 5 vols., ed. Muḥammad Fu'ād 'Abd al-Bāqī (Cairo: Dār Iḥyā' al-Kutub al-ʿArabiy-ya, 1955-6), 1:14; four accounts in Ibn Abī Ḥātim (d. 327/938), *Kitāb al-jarḥ wa'l-ta'dīl*, 4 vols., (Ḥaydarābād: Maṭba'at Majlis Dā'irat al-Ma'arif al-ʿUthmāniyya, 1941-53), 2:15; two accounts in Ibn 'Abd al-Barr (d. 463/1071), *al-Tamhīd*, ed. Muṣṭafā b. Aḥmad al-ʿAlawī and Muḥammad 'Abd al-Kabīr al-Bakrī (Lahore: al-Maktaba al-Qudsiyya, 1983), 1:46; one account in Sulaymān b. Khalaf b. Sa'd (d. 474/1081), *al-Ta'dīl wa'l-tajrīḥ*, 3 vols., ed. Aḥmad Labzār (Rabat: Wizārat al-Awqāf wa'l-Shu'ūn al-Islāmiyya, 1991), 1:267. These traditions are implicitly referencing Q49:6 ["O you who believe, if an evil-doer comes to you with a report, look carefully (*tabayyanū*) into it, lest you harm a people in ignorance, then be sorry for what you have done"].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21)</sup> Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 2:15-6. Anas b. Sīrīn was a prominent Baṣran traditionist. See al-Mizzī (d. 742/1341), *Tahdhīb al-kamāl fi asmāʾ al-rijāl*, 35 vols., ed. Bashshār ʿAwwād Maʿrūf (Beirut: Muʾassasat al-Risāla, 1992), 3:346.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22)</sup> Ibn Abī Ḥātim, 2:15. Like Anas and Muḥammad b. Sīrīn, al-Ḍaḥḥāk b. Muzāḥim enjoyed a high standing in traditionist circles. For his life, see al-Mizzī, 13:291.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23)</sup> Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, 1:47. Ibrāhīm b. Yazīd b. Qays al-Nakha'ī was one of the leading legal authorities in Kūfa at the end of  $1^{st/7^{th}}$  century. See al-Mizzī, 2:233 and  $EI^2$ , s.v. al-Nakha'ī (Lecomte).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24)</sup> Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, 1:47. See also al-Mizzī, 27:91 and EP, s.v. Mālik b. Anas (Joseph Schacht).

would they transmit from him." <sup>25</sup> Variants of this tradition in other sources substitute the word 'sima' (form) for 'sunna', thereby emphasizing the particular importance of the form and manner of an individual's prayer. <sup>26</sup> That an opinion virtually identical to that of Ibrāhīm al-Nakhā'ī in Kūfa was ascribed to al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī (d. 110/728)<sup>27</sup> in Baṣra indicates (once again) that such a sentiment was prevalent in a number of important Muslim urban centers. <sup>28</sup> The issue is discussed in unambiguous terms in the following tradition quoting the Baṣran scholar, Abū al-'Āliya Rufay' b. Mihrān (d. 90/708): <sup>29</sup>

We would follow the man from whom we wanted to transmit [traditions] to observe him when he prayed. If he knew how to perform [the prayer] expertly, we would sit down with him and say, "He must be correct in other matters." But if he performed [the prayer] incorrectly, we would move away from him and say, "He is wrong in other matters." 30

These accounts demonstrate that scholars in the late  $1^{st}/7^{th}$  and early  $2^{nd}/8^{th}$  century equated "proper religion" with ritual practice.<sup>31</sup> In fact,

During his appointment as chief of the police (*shurṭa*), Azjūr prohibited women from the bath houses, cemeteries, female prisons, and loud weeping [for the dead]. He also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25)</sup> al-Dārimī, 1:124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26)</sup> For variants of this account which include the term *sima*, see: Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, 1:47; Sulaymān b. Khalaf, 1:268; al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī (d. 463/1071) in both (a) *Kitāb al-kifāya fī 'ilm al-riwāya* (Ḥaydarābād: Idārat Jam'īyat Dā'irāt al-Ma'ārif al-'Uthmāniyya, 1938), 1:157 and (b) *al-Jāmi' li-akhlāq al-rāwī*, 2 vols., ed. Maḥmūd al-Ṭaḥḥān (Riyadh: Maktabat al-Ma'ārif, 1983), 1:128. A number of variants are also cited by Ibn Abī Ḥātim (2:16), including a hybrid which combines the words *sunna* and *sima* into a single formulation. A similar text is quoted in the biographical entry on Ibrāhīm al-Nakha'ī by Abū Nu'aym Aḥmad b. 'Abd Allāh (d. 429/1038), *Ḥilyat al-awliyā*', 10 vols. (Cairo: Maktabat al-Khānjī, 1932-8), 2:224. The use of "appearance" in these texts may refer to disputes over the permissibility of praying in certain clothes (and other forms of dress).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27)</sup> al-Mizzī, 6:95; *EI*<sup>2</sup>, s.v. Hasan al-Basrī (H. Ritter).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28)</sup> al-Dārimī, 1:124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29)</sup> al-Mizzī, 9:214.

<sup>30)</sup> al-Dārimī, 1:124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31)</sup> In addition to its utility in assessing an individual's veracity, ritual law steadily acquired a political significance. The following anecdote from al-Kīndī's (d. 349/961), *Wulāt Miṣr*, ed. Ḥusayn Naṣṣār (Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, 1959), 238, emphasizes the importance of enforcing a specific ritual regimen in the 3<sup>rd</sup>/9<sup>th</sup> century Muslim world.

they affirmed the veracity of individual transmitters primarily by observing them in the mosque rather than questioning them on theological matters such as God's justice or the imāmate.<sup>32</sup>

An echo of this tendency is preserved in *rijāl* works in which men holding problematic theological beliefs are nonetheless confirmed as upright authorities based solely on ritual form. A typical example

prohibited the audible recitation of the basmalah in prayers at the Friday Mosque (al-masjid al-jāmi'). He ordered al-Ḥasan b. al-Rabī', the Imām of the Friday Mosque, to abandon it [i.e., the audible basmalah]. That was in Rajab of the year 253. The people of Misr had continually recited [the basmalah] audibly in the Friday Mosque since the coming of Islam until its prohibition by Azjūr. The people in the Friday Mosque were forced to complete rows [in the prayers, a task] for which he sent a foreign man with the *kunya* of Abū Dawuh (?). He would push people forward from the back of the mosque with a whip and order those [lit: the people] in study circles to orient their faces to the *qibla* before the *iqāma* [the second call announcing the immediate start of prayer] of the prayer... He [also] ordered that the tarāwīh prayers [supererogatory prayers performed by Sunnīs exclusively in Ramaḍān] in the month of Ramadan be performed in five sets. The people of Mişr had continually prayed six sets of tarāwīh until Azjūr made it five in the month of Ramadān of the year 253. Azjūr [also] ordered the recitation of the tathwib [the phrase 'prayer is better than sleep'] in the [morning] call to prayer and had the call to prayer performed at the rear of the mosque.

By this point, ritual practice in Egypt (the setting for the account) was an important arena for conflict between the Mālikī and the Shāfiʿī schools of law. The measures above appear directed against the Shāfiʿīs, forcefully denying them the latitude to perform (in public) distinctive Shāfiʿī practices such as the audible recitation of the *basmalah* in audible prayer cycles. Thanks to Lennart Sundelin for this reference.

<sup>32)</sup> This is not to say that theological views were irrelevant, but rather to suggest that in the late 1<sup>st</sup>/7<sup>th</sup> and early 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century ritual practice was useful shorthand in ascertaining an individual's communal self-identification. This dynamic changed in later centuries with a decline in anecdotes of scholars being followed to the mosque and a rise in systematic norms for evaluating transmitter veracity. In the comprehensive *rijāl* works that began emerging in the 3<sup>rd</sup>/9<sup>th</sup> century, Sunnī traditionists were classified into one of three groups. The first allowed transmission by any figure with a reputation for honesty regardless of his/her theological beliefs so long as these did not include proselytizing or "extremism." The second accepted traditions narrated by individuals with problematic beliefs as long as they did not consider lying permissible. The third required independent verification of any and all traditions related by transmitters who held suspect views or were known "innovators." This framework can be found in (amongst other works) al-Dhahabī's (d. 748/1348) *Mīzān al-i'tidāl fī naqd al-rijāl*, 4 vols., ed. Ṣidqī Jamīl al-'Aṭṭār (Beirut: Dār al-Fikr, 1990) where the author differentiates between "extremist" and "non-extremist" Shī'a based on their cursing of early Companions (*Mīzān*, 1:29-30).

is the famous Kūfan jurist-traditionist Sulaymān b. Mihrān al-A'mash (d. 148/765).<sup>33</sup> The Sunnī biographical literature affirms his authority in obligatory acts of worship (farā'id), citing his rulings on topics ranging from ritual purity<sup>34</sup> and prayer<sup>35</sup> to the correct timing for the start and end of the fast in Ramadan.<sup>36</sup> Moreover, all of his students and colleagues praise his devotion to proper ritual practice as well as his piety. Wakī' b. Jarrāh (d. 196/811) recalls that al-A'mash never failed to perform a prayer at the proper time,<sup>37</sup> while al-Mughīra b. Migsam (d. 132/750) notes that "when Ibrāhīm (al-Nakhā'ī) died, we frequented al-A'mash regarding the obligatory acts of worship." 38 This reverence resonates throughout the early sources despite echoes of al-A'mash's possible Shī'ī inclinations. Ahmad b. 'Abd Allāh al-'Iilī (d. 261/874) observes that, although al-A'mash "was a scholar of the obligatory acts of worship," he "harbored Shī'ism within."39 Writing five centuries later, al-Dhahabī (d. 748/1348) appears puzzled by this contradiction and follows al-'Ijlī's claim with the assertion that al-A'mash was "a leader of the Sunna."40

The Imāmī biographical literature is notably silent regarding al-A'mash's communal affiliation. Although he is not described as an Imāmī (or even a Shī'a), a number of anecdotes suggest that he held views similar to those prevalent in the wider Kūfan Shī'ī community. In one such account, he is visited on his death bed by a group of prominent proto-Sunnī scholars,<sup>41</sup> including Ibn Abī Layla (d. 148/765) and Abū Ḥanīfa, who ask him to disavow traditions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33)</sup> al-Mizzī, 12:76-91; al-Dhahabī, *Ta'rīkh al-islām*, 40 vols., ed. 'Umar 'Abd al-Salām Tadmurī (Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī, 1987), (yrs. 141-60):161-7.

<sup>34)</sup> al-Dhahabī, Ta'rīkh (yrs. 141-60):164.

<sup>35)</sup> Ibid., 9:162 and 166.

<sup>36)</sup> Ibid., 9:164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37)</sup> al-Mizzī, 12:86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38)</sup> Ibid., 12:85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39)</sup> al-ʿIjlī (d. 261/874), *Ta'rīkh al-thiqāt*, ed. 'Abd al-Muʿṭī al-Qalʿajī (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyva, 1984), 205.

<sup>40)</sup> al-Dhahabī, *Ta'rīkh* (yrs. 141-60):162.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41)</sup> Like the term "Imāmī", "proto-Sunnī" refers to an amalgamation of groups at a very initial stage of differentiation that subsequently coalesced into sectarian schools of law.

in praise of 'Alī and the family of the Prophet.<sup>42</sup> He adamantly refuses. The jurists then deliver a series of warnings, beseeching al-A'mash to consider the consequences of refusal for his soul in the afterlife, before departing in frustration. We are left with a portrait of a man who held immense authority in ritual law within proto-Sunnī Kūfan circles while adhering to beliefs resonant with early Kūfan Shī'ism. In a testament to the integral importance of practice in the construction of sectarian identity, it was al-A'mash's ritual which confirmed his place in the broader proto-Sunnī (and, in particular, Kūfan traditionist) community.

Similar patterns emerge for an entire category of early 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century Kūfan authorities and transmitters. The most famous of these were accepted as upright and trustworthy in the Sunnī biographical literature but accused of a nebulous "Shī'ism" that consisted of (a) backing the political claims of 'Alid contenders and (b) elevating 'Alī and his family above the other Companions. Many of these men were labeled "Batrī" Zaydīs and adhered to ritual law positions distinctive to the larger proto-Sunnī Kūfan community.<sup>43</sup> Sālim b. Abī Ḥafṣa (d. 137/755), for example, endorsed the practice of wiping leather socks in the performance of the ritual ablution (a Kūfan traditionist opinion) and was said to indulge in an occasional glass of *nabīdh* (as allowed by the Kūfan *ahl al-ra'y*).<sup>44</sup> He enjoyed a good reputation amongst early Sunnī scholars who relied on him for guidance in ritual law.<sup>45</sup> This was in spite of his well-documented transmission of traditions which lowered the rank of Abū Bakr and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42)</sup> al-Tustarī, *Qāmūs al-rijāl*, 12 vols. (Qumm: Muʾassasat al-Nashr al-Islāmī, 1989-), 5:297-8. These traditions included the assertion that ʿAlī would intercede alongside the Prophet on the Day of Judgment as well as evidence supporting his claims for succession. While there is a possibility that these texts were polemically ascribed to al-Aʿmash by later Shīʿī sources, it is significant to note that they align with al-ʿIjlīʾs view of his Shīʿī tendencies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43)</sup> For the Batrīs, see Madelung, *Der Imam al-Qāsim*, 49-50; *EP* supplement, s.vv. Batriyya (*idem*); Zaydiyya (*idem*). For the portrayal of the Batrīs in the broad biographical literature as well as their historical significance in Kūfa and the development of Zaydism, see Najam Haider, *The Birth of Sectarian Identity in 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century Kūfa* (Pd. D. Thesis, Princeton University, 2007), 368-403.

<sup>44)</sup> al-Tustarī, 6:402.

<sup>45)</sup> al-Mizzī, 10:136-8.

'Umar as well as his endorsement of the murder of 'Uthmān. 46 Like al-A'mash, Sālim's high standing resulted from his ritual law positions which aligned with the Kūfan proto-Sunnīs as opposed to his theological views, some of which inclined towards the Shī'a. This conclusion is supported by the Imāmī biographical literature which dismisses Sālim as a "Batrī" and condemns his persistent questioning of al-Ṣādiq. 47 Similar examples include al-Ḥakam b. 'Utayba (d. 113/731) 48 and al-Ḥasan b. Ṣāliḥ (d. 168/785) 49 who are described as holding "Shī'ī" beliefs, while performing rituals in a manner consistent with the larger Kūfan proto-Sunnī community. Both men were confirmed as proto-Sunnī legal authorities; al-Ḥakam was a member of the traditionist movement and al-Ḥasan was linked to the *ahl al-ra'y*.

Early 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century Kūfa was home to a wide range of ritual practices increasingly associated with specific religious communities. In such an environment, the decision to perform the prayer in a particular fashion constituted a public affirmation of communal loyalty. This is most evident in those traditions in which outward ritual practice serves as the primary criterion for ascertaining an individual's reliability as a source for religious knowledge. The biographical literature goes even further, overlooking the problematic beliefs of legal authorities so long as they remained faithful to an acceptable ritual form.

<sup>46)</sup> al-Dhahabī, *Ta'rīkh* (yrs. 121-40):435.

<sup>47)</sup> al-Tustarī, 4:597-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48)</sup> al-Ḥakam's Shī'ism is characterized in all the Sunnī biographical sources as subtle and concealed, yet the Imāmī sources consider him a typical Sunnī traditionist scholar. For the Sunnī perspective, see al-Mizzī, 7:114-20 and al-Dhahabī, *Ta'rīkh* (yrs. 101-20):345-7. For the Imāmī perspective, see al-Tustarī, 3:613.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49)</sup> Although the Sunnī biographical literature emphasizes al-Ḥasan b. Ṣāliḥ's reliability, it concentrates primarily on two controversial opinions: (a) his view that the Friday prayer was not mandatory and (b) his endorsement of armed insurrection. Although these views were condemned by later Sunnī scholars, they did not result in al-Ḥasan b. Ṣāliḥ's marginalization. Al-Dhahabī, for example, placed him amongst the leading jurists of the Kūfan ahl al-ra'y. The Imāmī literature stresses that al-Ḥasan b. Ṣāliḥ was not part of the Imāmī community, labeling him a "Batrī" Zaydī. For the Sunnī perspective, see al-Mizzī, 6:177-91, and al-Dhahabī, *Ta'rīkh* (yrs. 161-70):131-6, with the latter strongly affirming al-Ḥasan's juristic authority. For the Imāmī perspective, see al-Tustarī, 3:264-6.

# II. The Demarcation of Sacred Space—Mosques

In addition to its role in determining the affiliations and reliability of religious authorities, practice also contributed to the demarcation and appropriation of sacred space. This connection is apparent in the following account related by a student of the prominent Kūfan jurist Sharīk b. 'Abd Allāh (d. 177/793):

In our presence a man asked Sharīk, "What is your opinion regarding a man whose door is located near a mosque where the *qunūt* is not performed while behind that mosque is another mosque where the *qunūt* is performed?" He responded, "He should go to the mosque where the *qunūt* is performed." He then asked, "What is your opinion regarding a man who affirms the *qunūt* but forgets to perform it?" He responded, "He should perform two prostrations of forgetfulness." He continued, "What is your opinion regarding a man who rejects the *qunūt* but forgets and performs it?" He laughed and said, "This man forgets and thereby hits the mark!"

Sharīk's response suggests the confluence of ritual and mosque in the middle 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century as well as its increasing association with communal (particularly Shī'ī) identity. The Imāmīs were singular in their insertion of the *qunūt* in all of the daily prayers and likely frequented those mosques where it was regularly performed.<sup>51</sup> This was a conscious choice which involved a degree of hardship or, at the very least, annoyance as the Imāmī population was not exclusively concentrated around appropriate mosques. The hypothetical supplicant discussed by Sharīk, for example, is instructed to bypass his neighborhood mosque to reach another in which the prayer is conducted in a proper fashion.

Imāmī literature abounds with evidence for the demarcation of Kūfan sacred space into mosques which were "blessed" and others which were "accursed." The former included Masjid Ghanī, Masjid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50)</sup> Aḥmad b. Muḥammad al-Barqī, *al-Maḥāsin*, ed. al-Sayyid Mahdī al-Rajjā'ī (Qumm: al-Muʿāwiniyya al-Thaqafiyya, 1992), 2:46-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51)</sup> Lalani, 124-5.

<sup>52)</sup> Al-Ţūsī (d. 460/1067), al-Amālī (Qumm: Dār al-Thaqāfa, 1993), 168-9. A similar tradition is found in Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm b. Muḥammad al-Thaqafī al-Kūfī (d. 283/896), al-Ghārāt, 2 vols., ed. al-Sayyid Jalāl al-Dīn (Tehran: Anjumān Āthār Millī, 1975), 3:482-3. While 'Alī is the most commonly mentioned authority for this account, a number of variants cite either al-Bāqir or al-Ṣādiq. See al-Kulaynī, *Uṣūl min al-Kāfī*, 8 vols., ed. 'Alī Akbar al-Ghaffārī (Tehran: Dār al-Kūtub al-Islāmiyya, 1983), 3:489-90; Ibn Bābawayh

Suhayl, Masjid Ju'fī, and Masjid al-Ḥamrā (also known as Masjid Yūnus).<sup>53</sup> The importance of each location was rooted in a combination of historical and religious factors. Masjid Ghanī was founded by "a believer" and was prophesized as being home to the gardens and springs of heaven,<sup>54</sup> while al-Bāqir emphasized that "every prophet who God sent" had performed prayers in Masjid Suhayl.<sup>55</sup> Masjid Ju'fī was a gathering place for Bedouin and appears in later traditions as one of the locations in which the hidden Imām would perform his prayers.<sup>56</sup> The significance of Masjid al-Ḥamrā' was tied to its construction over the tomb of the Prophet Yūnus, endowing the land with special blessing (*baraka*).<sup>57</sup> A fifth mosque, the Masjid Banī Kāhil (also known as the Masjid of the Commander of the Faithful) was revered as a location where 'Alī led the *fajr* prayers and performed the *qunūt*.<sup>58</sup> Masjid Bāhila and Masjid Ṣaʿṣaʿa b. Ṣūḥān b. Ḥujr al-ʿAbdī̄<sup>59</sup> were also honored by

<sup>(</sup>d. 381/991), *Kitāb al-khiṣāl*, ed. 'Alī Akbar al-Ghaffārī (Tehran: Maktabat al-Ṣadūq, 1969), 300-1, where the text has Masjid al-Khamrā' in place of Masjid al-Ḥamrā'; Ibn al-Mashhadī (d. 594/1198), *al-Mazār al-kabīr*, ed. Jawād al-Qayyūmī (Qumm: Mu'assasat al-Āfāq, 1998), 119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53)</sup> al-Ṭūsī, *al-Amālī*, 168-9 and an important variant in Ibn al-Mashhadī, 117-8. An abbreviated list of these mosques appears in al-Shaykh al-Mufīd (d. 413/1022), *Kitāb al-mazār* (Qumm: Madrasat al-Imām al-Mahdī, 1988), 88. Many accounts also include Masjid al-Sahla (also called Masjid Banī Zafar), which will be discussed below as one of the "Mosques of the two Kūfas." For details about the location and namesakes of both the "blessed" and "accursed" mosques, see footnotes 1 and 2 in Ibn Bābawayh, *al-Khiṣāl*, 301, along with the sources listed below.

<sup>54)</sup> Ibn al-Mashhadī, 118-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55)</sup> al-Ṭūsī, *Tahdhīb al-aḥkām*, 10 vols., ed. Ḥasan al-Mūsawī (Tehran: Dār al-Kūtub al-Islamiyya, 1970), 6:31, where the mosque is characterized as the "dwelling place of the prophets, successors, and those who do good." See also Ibn al-Mashhadī, 113.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56)</sup> For a typical story, see Warrām b. Abī Farrās (d. 605/1208), *Tanbīh al-khawāṭir*, 2 vols. (Tehran: n.p., 1956-7), 303-5. Ibn al-Mashhadī mentions that this mosque was no longer frequented by the Juʿfī tribe in the 6th/12th century (Ibn al-Mashhadī, 119).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57)</sup> al-Ţūsī, *al-Amālī*, 168-9; al-Kūfī, 3:484.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58)</sup> Ibn al-Mashhadī, 120-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59)</sup> Abū Ṭalḥa Ṣā'ṣa'a b. Ṣūḥān b. Ḥujr al-'Abdī (d. before 60/680) fought on 'Alī's side at the Battle of the Camel. He was famed as a *khaṭīb* and narrated a small number of traditions from 'Alī and 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abbās. A district in Kūfa was named after him, and he is said to have died during the reign of Mu'āwiya. See Muḥammad b. Sa'd (d. 230/845), *Kitāb al-ṭabaqāt al-kabīr*, 11 vols., ed. 'Alī Muḥammad 'Umar (Cairo: Maktabat al-Khānjī, 2001), 8:340-1; al-Mizzī, 13:167.

the Imāmī community and associated with unique sets of prayers and invocations.<sup>60</sup>

In addition to these seven mosques, two other Kūfan locations (Masjid al-Sahla and the "Big Masjid" known as the Masjid al-Kūfa but different from the Friday Mosque) were hailed as places of cosmological importance.<sup>61</sup> Masjid al-Sahla possessed a green stone bearing the marks of all past prophets<sup>62</sup> and was said to have been personally visited by Idrīs (Enoch), Ibrāhīm (Abraham), and Dāwūd (David). 63 The sources identify Masjid al-Kūfa as the main Shī'ī mosque for the entire city and emphasize its importance through an anecdote about the Prophet's ascent to heaven (mi'rāj).64 In the account, as the Prophet is being carried by Jibra'îl (Gabriel), he is informed that they are passing above Masjid al-Kūfa where every prophet or servant of God had performed prayers. Muhammad asks for and is granted the same privilege. The narrator—al-Ṣādiq—observes that "an obligatory prayer within it is equivalent to a thousand prayers [outside it] and a supererogatory prayer in it is equivalent to five hundred prayers [outside it],"65 with variant accounts increasing the rewards to a greater (hajj) and lesser ('umra') pilgrimage, respectively. 66 This mosque was further exalted as being home to people who would be granted intercession on the Day of Judgment, <sup>67</sup> the location

<sup>60)</sup> Ibn al-Mashhadī, 119 for Masjid Bāhila; Ibn al-Mashhadī, 142-6 for Masjid Şa'şa'a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61)</sup> There is a degree of confusion in identifying these two mosques. Clear evidence for the centrality of Masjid al-Sahla and Masjid al-Kūfa amongst the early Imāmīs, however, is affirmed by al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, who places both at the center of a wider religious program for visiting the city (al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, *al-Mazār*, 88).

<sup>62)</sup> al-Ţūsī, al-Amālī, 168-9; al-Kūfī, 3:482-3; Ibn al-Mashhadī, 134-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63)</sup> Ibn al-Mashhadī, 118-9 and 132-6, with special invocations and further historical details on 136-43. A tradition ascribed to 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn al-Sajjād (the fourth Imām—d. 95/713) states that "God extends the lifetime of the supplicant of two prayer cycles in Masjid al-Sahla by two years" (al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, *al-Mazār*, 14).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64)</sup> al-Kulaynī, 3:491-1; al-Barqī, 1:128; Ibn al-Mashhadī, 122-3 and 131. The parallels in the descriptions of these mosques to that of Masjid al-Aqṣā of Jerusalem (in other accounts of the *mi'rāj*) are striking.

<sup>65)</sup> For an account that does not mention the magnitude of the reward earned, see al-Ṭūsī, *Tahdhīb*, 6:32.

<sup>66)</sup> al-Kulaynī, 3:491; al-Ţūsī, *Tahdhīb*, 6:32; Ibn al-Mashhadī, 122 and 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67)</sup> Ibn al-Mashhadī, 125-6. For a comprehensive set of traditions regarding the importance of this mosque, see al-Kulaynī, 3:494-5 and Ibn al-Mashhadī, 121-31.

of numerous heavenly gardens,<sup>68</sup> and the secret resting place of Nūḥ's ark, Mūsa's cane, and Sulaymān's signet ring.<sup>69</sup>

These mosques were part and parcel of a broad network of sacred spaces frequented primarily by the nascent Imāmī community. While many of the traditions explaining their importance were attributed to either the Prophet or 'Alī, a comparison of variant accounts suggests that they were originally ascribed to al-Bāqir and al-Ṣādiq. To It was during the lifetimes of these important Imāms (i.e., the late  $1^{\rm st}/7^{\rm th}$  to the mid  $2^{\rm nd}/8^{\rm th}$  century) that such texts gained wide circulation, endowing certain spaces with a religious pedigree that significantly elevated their status for the emerging community. It is hardly surprising that the prayer leaders in these mosques are described as conducting prayers in a distinctively Imāmī manner. To

The same traditions which identify "blessed mosques" mention others where 'Alī prohibited his followers from offering prayers (the so-called "accursed mosques"). These include Masjid al-Ash'ath b. Qays,<sup>72</sup> Masjid Jarīr b. 'Abd Allāh al-Bajalī (also known as Masjid Nimār), Masjid Simāk b. Makhrama,<sup>73</sup> Masjid Shabath b. Rib'ī,<sup>74</sup> and Masjid Taym.<sup>75</sup> Three of these mosques were associated with historical figures reviled by the Imāmīs. Al-Ash'ath b. Qays (d. 40/

<sup>68)</sup> al-Barqī, 1:128; Ibn al-Mashhadī, 127-8.

<sup>69)</sup> Ibn al-Mashhadī, 127 and 129.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70)</sup> For accounts that cite al-Bāqir and al-Ṣādiq in place of 'Alī, see Ibn Bābawayh, *al-Khiṣāl*, 300-1; al-Ṭūsī, *Tahdhīb*, 6:39; al-Kūfī, 2:483-4.

<sup>71)</sup> Modarressi, Tradition, vol. 1, 204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72)</sup> By the 6<sup>th</sup>/12<sup>th</sup> century, this mosque no longer existed. Some claimed that it originally stood between Masjid al-Kūfa and Masjid al-Sahla but that only a part of its wall had survived, while others equated it with the extant Masjid al-Jawāshin (Ibn al-Mashhadī, 120).

 $<sup>^{73)}</sup>$  In the  $6^{th}/12^{th}$  century, this mosque was located near the market of the blacksmiths and had been renamed Masjid al-Ḥawāfir (Ibn al-Mashhadī, 120).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74)</sup> Shabath b. Ribʻī (d. 80/699) supported both 'Uthmān and 'Alī before siding with the Khawārij. He eventually repented of turning against 'Alī and—after the murder of al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī—joined Mukhtār, who placed him in charge of the *shurṭa* in Kūfa. See Ibn Saʻd, 8:335 as well as footnote 2 in Ibn Bābawayh, *al-Khiṣāl*, 301. In the 6<sup>th</sup>/12<sup>th</sup> century, this mosque was located in the markets at the end of a road called *Darb al-Ḥajjāj* (Ibn al-Mashhadī, 120).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75)</sup> Ibn Bābawayh, al-Khiṣāl, 301-2; al-Ṭūsī, Tahdhīb, 6:39; al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, al-Mazār, 88.

661) fought with 'Alī in the Battle of Siffīn before pressuring him to (a) accept arbitration and (b) appoint Abū Mūsā al-Ash'arī as one of the arbiters. The Imāmī sources claim that he turned to Khārijism in his later years. 76 Jarīr b. 'Abd Allāh b. Jābir al-Bajalī (d. 51-6/671-6) was a Companion entrusted by 'Alī to carry a letter to Mu'āwiya but who secretly pledged loyalty to the Umayyads and worked on their behalf.<sup>77</sup> Simāk b. Makhrama b. Humayn al-Asadī (d. mid to late 1st/7th century) lived in an area of Kūfa known for the pro-'Uthman beliefs of its inhabitants (as late as the 4th/10th century) where he built a mosque in which 'Alī famously refused to offer prayers. 78 In addition, four of these mosques (Masjid al-'Ash'ath, Masjid Jarīr, Masjid Simāk, and Masjid Shabath) achieved notoriety when, according to al-Bāqir, "they were renovated... in celebration of the murder of al-Husayn."79 Given the circumstances, it is highly unlikely that any Imāmī would venture into such locations. According to an early tradition, 'Alī avoided the fifth mosque (Masjid Taym) largely because its population (drawn from the Banū Taym) "would not pray with him out of enmity and hatred."80 Two additional hostile spaces mentioned in the Imāmī sources were Masjid Thaqīf and a second Masjid al-Hamra'81 allegedly built on the grave of "one of the pharaohs."82

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76)</sup> Al-Ash'ath b. Qays al-Kindī was also a Companion and participated in the *ridda* (apostasy) revolts after the death of the Prophet. He was eventually pardoned and took part in the conquests of Syria. See Ibn Sa'd, 6:236-7; *EP*, s.v. al-Ash'ath (Reckendorf); al-Dhahabī, *Ta'rīkh*, (yrs. 11-40):609. For Shī'ī characterizations of his Khārijism, see footnote 1 in Ibn Bābawayh, *al-Khiṣāl*, 301.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77)</sup> This view is most noticeable in Ibn Sa'd, 6:288-301 and especially 300-1. See also footnote 1 in Ibn Bābawayh, *al-Khiṣāl*, 301.

<sup>78)</sup> al-Işbahānī (d. 356/967), Kitāb al-Aghānī, 31 vols., ed. Ibrāhīm Ibyārī (Cairo: Dār al-Sha'b 1969-79), 11:4037.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79)</sup> Ibn Bābawayh, *al-Khiṣāl*, 302; al-Kulaynī, 3:490; Ibn al-Mashhadī, 118-9. The reference here is to the murder of al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib (d. 61/680).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80)</sup> Ibn Bābawayh, *al-Khiṣāl*, 301-2. The fact that Abū Bakr was a member of the Banū Taym likely contributed to the clan's animosity.

al-Ṭūsī,  $al-Amāl\bar{i}$ , 168-9, with variants in al-Ṭūsī,  $Tahdh\bar{i}b$ , 6:39; Ibn Bābawayh,  $al-Khiṣ\bar{a}l$ , 300-1; al-Kūfī, 3:482-3.

 $<sup>^{82)}</sup>$  al-Ṭūsī, al-Amālī, 168-9; Ibn Bābawayh, al-Khiṣāl, 300-1; al-Kūfī, 3:482-3; Ibn al-Mashhadī, 118-9. Ibn al-Mashhadī identifies this location with the marketplace of the carpenters in  $6^{th}/12^{th}$  century Kūfa (Ibn al-Mashhadī, 120).

The presence of "blessed and accursed" mosques in 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century Kūfa suggests a clear partitioning of sacred space. While it is possible that some Kūfan *masjids* (e.g., the Friday mosque) were frequented by broad segments of the population, the Imāmī community clearly endowed certain mosques with special historical or religious significance.<sup>83</sup> In light of the evidence for differences in ritual law (e.g., the *qunūt*), these spaces were likely arenas for the performance of communal identity. Venturing into Masjid Juʿfī, a worshipper could expect to hear a distinctive *adhān* (call to prayer),<sup>84</sup> followed by a prayer that took a specifically Shīʿī form.<sup>85</sup>

# III. The Merging of Ritual and Space—Pilgrimage

The emergence of a communal boundary separating Imāmīs from the broader Kūfan population was increasingly embodied in a practice that combined ritual with space, namely, the performance of pilgrimages to sites of religious importance.

The centrality of this practice is evident in the growth of an entire genre of literature (with titles such as *Kitāb al-mazār*) which provide pilgrims with itineraries and instructions for location-specific

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83)</sup> Although much of this section focuses on the identification of mosques frequented by Imāmīs, there are also accounts which associate specific non-Imāmī Kūfans with particular mosques. For a typical example in which al-A'mash is noted as frequenting "Masjid Banī Ḥarām min Banī Sa'd," see Ibn Sa'd, 8:46.

There are important differences between the Sunnī and Shī'ī law schools regarding the proper form of the call to prayer (adhān). The most prominent concerns the Shī'ī use of the phrase "Hurry to the best of works," a practice ascribed to the Prophet, confirmed by 'Alī, and supported by subsequent Imāms. Amongst Sunnī juristic circles, there are additional disagreements regarding the use of the phrase "Prayer is better than sleep" (referred to as tathwīb) before the dawn prayer. For the Imāmī view, see al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, al-Muqni'a (Qumm: Mu'assasat al-Nashr al-Islāmī, 1992), 102; Ibn Bābawayh, Man lā yaḥḍuruhu al-faqīh (Qumm: n.p., 1992), 1:283-4 and 288; al-Ṭūsī, Tahdhīb, 2:59-69. For the Sunnī view, see Ibn Qudāma (d. 620/1223), al-Mughnī, 15 vols., ed. 'Abd Allāh b. 'Abd al-Muḥsin al-Turkī et al, (Cairo, n.p., 1986), 2:61. In the 16th century, the Ṣafawids institutionalized a number of new Imāmī ritual practices including the insertion of a confirmation of 'Alī's wilāya within the adhān. For this issue, see Liyakat Takim, "From Bid'a to Sunna: The Wilāya of 'Alī in the Shī'ī Adhān," Journal of the American Oriental Society 120 (2000), 166-77.

<sup>85)</sup> For a summary of these differences, see Lalani, 119-26.

prayers and invocations. Moreover, juristic works include a section on shrines often immediately following chapters dealing with the *ḥajj*. Additional evidence for the role of pilgrimage in the construction of an Imāmī identity is found in traditions that list the outward "signs" of group membership. In a typical example, the eleventh Imām Ḥasan al-Askarī (d. 260/874) asserts that "[t]here are five signs of a believer: fifty-one cycles of prayer, the pilgrimage to al-Ḥusayn's tomb forty days after the anniversary of his death, the wearing of a ring on the right hand, the sprinkling of dust on the forehead, and the audible recitation of the *basmalah*."<sup>86</sup> The inclusion of pilgrimage in lists of the primary rituals of Imāmī Shī'ism testifies to its growing significance through the 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>/9<sup>th</sup> centuries.

Pilgrimage manuals generally begin with a discussion of shrines and places of import in the vicinity of Mecca and Medina. These are not simply tombs of religious figures or locations where the Prophet stayed or recited a prayer; rather they are venues of notable significance to the Imāmī community. Special mention, for example, is made of a mosque built near Ghadīr Khumm where it is believed that the Prophet appointed 'Alī as his successor.<sup>87</sup> Both al-Ṣādiq and al-Kāzim (d. 184/800) emphasize the location's role in the historical narrative of succession at the heart of Imāmī identity. The former explains that it is "recommended to perform prayers in the Masjid Ghadīr Khumm because the Prophet established (*aqāma*) the Commander of the Faithful in it and it is the location where God made the truth manifest," while the latter instructs his followers to "pray in it, for in the prayer is a good benefit, my father [al-Ṣādiq] having commanded it."

It was in Kūfa and its surrounding areas, however, that the centrality of pilgrimage was most evident. There are multitudes of accounts which emphasize the sanctity of the Kūfan mosques mentioned above, particularly Masjid al-Kūfa and Masjid al-Sahla. These venues

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86)</sup> al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, al-Mazār, 53; al-Ṭūsī, Tahdhīb, 6:52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87)</sup> For the importance of this location and the accounts associated with it, see *EI*<sup>2</sup>, s.v. Ghadīr Khumm (L. Veccia Vaglierī); Dakake, 33-48.

<sup>88)</sup> al-Kulaynī, 4:566-7; al-Ţūsī, *Tahdhīb*, 6:18.

<sup>89)</sup> Ibid.

were included in broad itineraries which instructed adherents in the proper methods of pilgrimage. Al-Shaykh al-Mufīd (d. 413/1022), for example, directs pilgrims returning from a visit to 'Alī's grave to stay at Masjid al-Kūfa for an extended period before proceeding to Masjid al-Sahla, Masjid Ghanī, and Masjid al-Ḥamrā'. <sup>90</sup> Al-Ṭūsī (d. 460/1067) recommends a visit to the Euphrates, quoting al-Ṣādiq's observation that "I do not think anyone experiences the water of the Euphrates without developing a love for us—the Family of the Prophet," <sup>91</sup> before describing the merits of the usual set of Kūfan mosques. In his pilgrimage manual, the 6th/12th century Imāmī Ibn al-Mashhadī recounts detailed prayers for a wide array of Kūfan mosques and places them in a hierarchy of importance. <sup>92</sup>

The tombs of 'Alī (on the outskirts of the city) and al-Husayn (at the battlefield of Karbalā' roughly fifty miles away) were revered as especially sacred locations, and every member of the community with the means and opportunity was expected to visit them. Although 'Alī's grave was often incorporated into discussions of the broader importance of Kūfan holy sites, its special distinction was reflected in regular city delegations that would travel the short distance in a public procession. 93 This often took place during the festival commemorating Ghadīr Khumm on the 10<sup>th</sup> of Dhū al-Hijja. The defining importance of the pilgrimage in assessing communal identity is reflected in the case of Hibat Allah Ahmad b. Muhammad b. al-Kātib (d. early 5<sup>th</sup>/11<sup>th</sup> century), popularly known as Ibn Barniyya. The Imāmī biographical sources recount his belief in thirteen Imāms (the twelve in the standard Imāmī genealogy together with Zayd b. 'Alī) and note that he frequented the circles of a prominent Kūfan Zaydī scholar. 94 On the basis of this description, we would expect

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90)</sup> al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, *al-Mazār*, 88. The same text emphasizes the importance of the Kūfan mosques by enjoining pilgrims to visit them before proceeding to the grave of 'Alī, especially if they fear that they will not have the opportunity to do so afterwards.

<sup>91)</sup> al-Ţūsī, *Tahdhīb*, 6:39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92)</sup> Ibn al-Mashhadī, 111-80 where the location of each *masjid* within Kūfa proper is described along with appropriate invocations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93)</sup> al-Ţūsī, *Tahdhīb*, 6:21 and 6:39 along with al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, *al-Mazār*, 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94)</sup> Aḥmad b. ʿAlī al-Najāshī (d. 449/1058), *Rijāl al-Najāshī*, ed. Muḥammad Jawād al-Nāʾīnī (Beirut: Dār al-Aḍwāʾ 1988), 2:408-9; Ibn Dāwūd al-Ḥasan b. ʿAlī al-Ḥillī

a condemnation of Ibn Barniyya for his heterodox belief in Zayd's imāmate. 95 The eventual consensus of the school, however, confirmed his Imāmism, citing his acceptance of those Imāms explicitly rejected by the Zaydis for their failure to engage in armed uprising (e.g., the fourth Imām 'Alī b. al-Husayn al-Sajjād). 6 This is startling. If Ibn Barniyya held a theological belief (i.e., the acceptance of thirteen Imāms) that fell outside the purview of Imāmī doctrine, how could he be considered a proper Imāmī? Part of the answer may lie in al-Najāshī's biographical entry, which states that "this man participated in many pilgrimages. The last pilgrimage where he was present amongst us was in the year 400 on the day of Ghadīr at the tomb of the Commander of the Faithful."97 This public act of pilgrimage constituted an affirmation of communal identity strong enough to overcome a dramatic departure from Imāmī theological doctrine. The case of Ibn Barniyya testifies to the importance of participation in annual synchronized processions which represented singular occasions when large groups of Imāmīs could assert their loyalties as a group.98

The shrine of al-Ḥusayn in Karbalā' evoked a similar resonance as a center for communal ritual in 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century Kūfa. Located at a distance that made daily visits from Kūfa difficult, it was close enough to serve as a semi-regular site for lesser pilgrimages. The multitudes of accounts that enjoin believers to visit the site emphasize its place at the very heart of communal identity. In most of these texts, Kūfan Imāmīs visit Medina, where they are questioned by

<sup>(</sup>d. 740/1340), *Kitāb al-rijāl*, (Tehran: Dānishgāh, 1963), 366. Al-Najāshī identifies his Zaydī teacher as Abū al-Ḥusayn b. Shayba al-ʿAlawī.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95)</sup> One Imāmī authority does condemn him as weak in *ḥadīth* transmission (Ibn Dāwūd, 366) but others appear to reserve judgment and do not offer a clear opinion regarding his reliability (al-Najāshī, 2:408-9).

<sup>96)</sup> al-Tustarī, 10:499.

<sup>97)</sup> al-Najāshī, 2:408.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98)</sup> While smaller gathering in mosques for daily prayers or individualized pilgrimages to holy sites carried significance, the processions allowed individuals to be counted as part and parcel of a cohesive community. Similar dynamics are apparent in processions in the modern period in South Asia (amongst both Muslims and Hindus) and were particularly conspicuous in the millions of pilgrims who gathered in Karbalā' for the first commemoration of 'Āshūrā' after the fall of Saddam Hussein.

either al-Bagir or al-Sadiq about the frequency of pilgrimages to Karbalā'. In one instance, al-Sādig observes that "our Shī'a [in Kūfa] allow a year or two to pass during which most of them do not visit al-Ḥusayn b. 'Alī b. Abī Ṭālib."99 He notes that they would be surprised in the afterlife by a diminished reward and by being kept at a distance from the Prophet. In another tradition, al-Sādig asks a Kūfan visitor (identified as 'Abd Allāh b. Talha al-Nahdī) if he has ever visited Karbalā' (yes) and then interrogates him as to the regularity of those visits. 100 When al-Sādiq learns of the infrequency with which al-Nahdī (along with the larger Imāmī Kūfan community) undertook the journey to Karbala, he laments that the act is not intended as a burden, for it garners a reward equal to a greater and lesser pilgrimage. In a third account, al-Bāqir—upon being informed that the travel time between Kūfa and Karbalā' is "a little over a day"-observes that if he resided so close to al-Ḥusayn, he would visit often.<sup>101</sup> Each of these traditions places the pilgrimage from Kūfa to Karbalā' at the heart of Imāmī identity, as Imāms implore their followers to visit the shrine regularly. Some texts go so far as to make pilgrimage a foundational requirement of faith itself. In a typical example, al-Sādiq forcefully asserts:

If one of you performs the *ḥajj* in the course of your lifetime and does not visit al-Ḥusayn b. ʿAlī, then you have departed from one of the claims (*ḥuqūq*) of God and the Messenger of God, because the claim of al-Ḥusayn is a mandatory duty from God Exalted and Mighty and obligatory upon every Muslim. <sup>102</sup>

Here the act of pilgrimage is elevated to a core tenet of faith and integrated into a larger set of ritual acts central to the lived experience of the  $Im\bar{a}m\bar{i}$  community. <sup>103</sup>

<sup>99)</sup> al-Ṭūsī, *Tahdhīb*, 6:45.

<sup>100)</sup> Ibid., 6:21.

<sup>101)</sup> Ibid., 6:46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102)</sup> al-Shaykh al-Mufīd, *al-Mazār*, 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103)</sup> Ibn Qulūyah's (d. 367/978-9) *Kāmil al-ziyārāt* [ed. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn al-Amīnī al-Tabrīzī (Najaf: al-Maṭba'a al-Murtaḍawiyya, 1937-8], a collection of traditions outlining the virtues and benefits of a pilgrimage to Karbalā', epitomizes the centrality of the practice to Imāmī identity.

### IV. Conclusion

In Distinction, his seminal study of 20th century France, Pierre Bourdieu emphasizes the importance of practice and place in the formation of identity, linking cultural preferences (i.e., taste) to the creation of boundaries which—in turn—partition French society into discernable classes. 104 Specifically, he argues that social divisions are facilitated by (and extenuated through) adherence to a set of observable behaviors consciously adopted by individual members of a group (e.g., an economic class). The emergence of group identity is tied to the public performance of distinctive actions in locations appropriated by (and specific to) a new social group. This relationship of ritual and space is not static. Over time, locations acquire an elevated importance rooted in the historical experience of the community as a whole. The process creates a situation in which the very act of visiting a sacred space to perform a set of ritual actions becomes a public affirmation of identity. Locations originally chosen for reasons of comfort or safety acquire a charismatic authority in their own right. Seen in this light, pilgrimage sites, shrines, or festival grounds are unambiguous indicators of a demarcated group identity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104)</sup> Pierre Bourdieu, *Distinction*, trans. Richard Nice (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1984), 482-4. In Language and Symbolic Power, trans. Gino Raymond (Cambridge: Polity in association with Basil Blackwell, 1991), Bourdieu expands his analysis to include modern revolutionary movements and their appropriation of language in the assertion of identity. Bourdieu authored numerous works which apply his theoretical framework to topics ranging from political action in Algeria to the development of a European artistic aesthetic. See also Kevin Hetherington, Expressions of Identity (London: Sage, 1998), 19 and 37. Bourdieu's work has provided the methodological framework for numerous modern studies on identity. Some (e.g., Gabriele Marranci, Jihad Beyond Islam [Oxford: Berg, 2006] and Arnold Eisen, Rethinking Modern Judaism [Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1998]) apply his methods to religious communities and emphasize the role of ritual in creating boundaries for emergent communities. Others (e.g., Dru Gladney's Dislocating China [London: C. Hurst & Company, 2004] and Maris Gilette's Between Mecca and Beijing [Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2000]) focus on visible differences amongst social groups that consciously set themselves apart from broader society. The development of a "punk" subculture has received particular attention in a series of studies that include Tricia Henry, Break all rules! (Ann Arbor: UMI Research Press, 1989) and Alan O'Conner, "Punk Subculture in Mexico and the Anti-Globalization Movement," New Political Science 25 (2003) 43-54. For a detailed summary of the current state of the field, see Richard Jenkins, Social Identity (London: Routledge, 2004).

The ideas set forth by Bourdieu resonate strongly with the emergence of Imāmī Shī'ism in Kūfa. For members of the nascent community, adherence to distinct ritual forms (e.g., a daily prayer including the audible basmalah and the qunūt) was a public declaration that carried significant weight. In many cases, it was central to the "examination" which determined an individual's reputation as a source of religious knowledge. As opposed to questioning authorities about theological beliefs, the earliest sources depict them being followed into mosques and observed in prayer to ascertain their reliability. Such behavior speaks strongly for the functional importance of ritual in the broader Kūfan religious milieu. Over the course of the 2<sup>nd</sup>/8<sup>th</sup> century, there was an increasing correlation between ritual practice and mosque as the early Imāmī community appropriated certain locations as their own. This was reflected in a wide range of traditions in circulation during the lifetime of al-Ṣādiq (although ascribed to 'Alī) wherein certain spaces were deemed friendly and "sacred" while others were characterized as hostile and "accursed." In subsequent decades, these mosques, together with sites of particular religious resonance (e.g., 'Alī's tomb and the battlefield at Karbalā'), became focal points for pilgrimage, a practice that combined performative ritual and sacred space. The authority of such public proclamations of communal identity was such that, by the end of the 4th/10th century (at the latest) mere participation was deemed sufficient to override even the most problematic of theological beliefs.